Abstract—Accurate models for PWM modulators composed by the parallel connection of \(p\)-th order powers followed by a linear filter have been recently presented in the literature. In this paper we derive closed-form expressions for the impulse responses and frequency responses of those filters. This allows to predict the amplitudes and frequencies of the spurious components appearing in the baseband when using sinusoidal inputs and also to obtain a closed-form expression for the THD.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section II the model for the PWM signal is reviewed and formulas for the impulse responses and their frequency response are given. The analysis in the frequency domain is presented in Section III and the simulations in Section IV.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pulse width modulation (PWM) has a wide range of applications, from power electronics in energy conversion [1], to audio switching amplifiers [2] and RF power amplifiers [3] among others.

Discrete-time nonlinear models that capture the behavior of digital pulse width modulation in the frequency range between DC and half the PWM frequency (baseband) have been recently presented [4]–[7]. These models are developed from a time-domain perspective and accurately expose the relation between the duty cycles and the samples of the bandlimited PWM signal. The model is composed of the parallel connection of an static nonlinearity (power of the input) and a linear filter conforming an structure known as parallel Hammerstein.

Frequency analysis to obtain the spectra of PWM signals have also been presented, for sinusoidal modulating signals [1] and also for arbitrary, bounded, bandlimited modulating signals [8]. In this paper, we derive closed-form formulas for the impulse and frequency responses of the linear filters of the PWM model. For sinusoidal inputs this model allows to individualize the frequency and the amplitudes of the spurious distortion components that appear in baseband due to the PWM modulation. The mechanism by which the “aliasing distortion” [3], [9]–[11] of the PWM modulator is generated is also revealed.

An analytic expression for the total harmonic distortion (THD) as a function of input amplitude (modulation index) and input frequency is presented. Under typical operating conditions we show that distortion is directly proportional to the modulation index and to the square of the input frequency. These results are compared with a numerical simulations of the PWM modulator.

Models for different types of digital PWM have been recently presented in the literature [5], [7]. In this section we briefly summarize one of those models and introduce explicit expressions for the impulse responses of the digital filters.

We assume that a discrete-time input signal \(-1 \leq x_n \leq 1\) is mapped into the duty-cycles \(w_n\) as

\[
w_n = \frac{1 + x_n}{2} \tag{1}\]

which gives 0\% duty-cycle for \(x_n = -1\) and 100\% for \(x_n = 1\). This affine relation between the samples of the input signal and the duty cycles is typical of uniform PWM (UPWM). In practical applications UPWM is performed comparing a digital value of \(w_n\) with an ascending-descending digital counter.

The PWM signal \(q(t)\) is a two level signal taking values 0 and 1 composed of symmetric pulses centered at \(T = 1/f_s\), with width \(w_n T\). We assume, without loss of generality that \(T = 1/f_s = 1\).

To derive the model the signal \(q(t)\) is filtered with an ideal low pass-filter with cut-off frequency \(f_s/2 = 0.5\) and impulse response

\[
r(t) = \text{sinc}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t}\tag{2}\]

giving as result the bandlimited signal \(y(t)\). The discrete-time signal \(y_n\) results from sampling \(y(t)\) at \(f_s\) [7]

\[
y_n = \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} h_{2u-1,n} * (w_n)^{2u-1} \tag{3}\]

where \(*\) indicates the discrete-time convolution and \(h_{n,u}\) are the impulse responses of discrete-time filters. Due to the symmetry of the pulses of the PWM signal only odd powers of the duty cycles appear in (3). The discrete-time
signal $y_n$ computed with (3) represents exactly the baseband content of the PWM signal $q(t)$. A block diagram for the computation of $y_n$ is shown in Fig. 1. This structure is known as a parallel Hammerstein model, where each branch is composed of an static nonlinearity (power) followed by a linear filter.

The impulse responses $h_{p,n}$ can be computed as

$$h_{p,n} = \frac{1}{p!^{p-1}} r^{(p-1)}(nT)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $r^{(p)}(\cdot)$ is the $p$-th derivative of $r(t)$. Explicit expressions for $h_{p,n}$ as a function of $n$ and for different values of $p$ can be calculated by computing the $p-1$ derivative of $r(t)$ and by evaluating equation (4). This expressions were tabulated for some values of $p$ in [5, 7]. For example for $p = 3, 5,$ and 7 they are given by

$$h_{3,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^n}{12n^2}, & \text{if } n \neq 0, \\ -\pi^2/72, & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$h_{5,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^n(6+5n^2\pi^2)}{480n^4}, & \text{if } n \neq 0, \\ \pi^4/9600, & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$h_{7,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^n(120-20n^2\pi^2+n^4\pi^4)}{53760n^6}, & \text{if } n \neq 0, \\ -\pi^6/2257920, & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

\hspace{1cm} (5)

In the Appendix it is shown that a closed-form equation for $h_{p,n}$ is given by

$$h_{p,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{n+p}}{p!^{p-1}n!} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\pi n)^u}{u!} (-1)^u - 1), & \text{if } n \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{p!^{p-1}} \sin \left( \frac{\pi n}{2} \right), & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

\hspace{1cm} (6)

III. FREQUENCY ANALYSIS OF THE PWM SIGNAL

The discrete-time Fourier transform (DTFT) $Y(e^{j\omega})$ of $y_n$ reveals the baseband content of the PWM signal and can be computed using the Hammerstein model of the digital PWM modulator in (3). Following the block diagram in Fig. 1 we have that

$$Y(e^{j\omega}) = Y_1(e^{j\omega}) + Y_2(e^{j\omega}) + Y_3(e^{j\omega}) + \cdots$$

\hspace{1cm} (7)

where $Y_p(e^{j\omega})$ is the DTFT of the output $y_{p,n}$ of each branch of the model. The DTFTs $Y_p(e^{j\omega})$ are computed as

$$Y_p(e^{j\omega}) = W^{*p}(e^{j\omega})H_p(e^{j\omega})$$

\hspace{1cm} (8)

where $H_p(e^{j\omega})$ is the DTFT of the impulse responses given by (6), and $W^{*p}(e^{j\omega})$ is the DTFT of $(w_n)^p$ which corresponds to the $p$-times periodic convolution (Modulation or Windowing Theorem) [12] of $W(e^{j\omega})$, the DTFT of $w_n$.

Clearly $W^{*1}(e^{j\omega}) = W(e^{j\omega})$ and the DTFT $W^{*p}(e^{j\omega})$ can be computed recursively as

$$W^{*p}(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W^{*(p-1)}(e^{j\theta})W^{*}(e^{j(\omega-\theta)})d\theta$$

\hspace{1cm} (9)

for $p = 2, 3, 4, 5, \ldots$. Therefore $Y(e^{j\omega})$ is given by

$$Y(e^{j\omega}) = W^{*1}(e^{j\omega})H_1(e^{j\omega}) + W^{*3}(e^{j\omega})H_3(e^{j\omega})$$

$$+ W^{*5}(e^{j\omega})H_5(e^{j\omega}) + \cdots$$

\hspace{1cm} (10)

A. Frequency response of the filters $H_p(e^{j\omega})$

The frequency responses of the filters can be computed using its impulse responses as

$$H_p(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{p,n}e^{-j\omega n},$$

\hspace{1cm} (11)

whose closed-form expressions can be written as (see Appendix)

$$H_p(e^{j\omega}) = \left( \frac{\omega}{p!} \right)^p - \pi < \omega < \pi,$$

\hspace{1cm} (12)

giving $H_1(e^{j\omega}) = 1$, $H_3(e^{j\omega}) = -\frac{\omega^2}{24}$, $H_5(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{\omega^4}{1920}$ and $H_7(e^{j\omega}) = -\frac{\omega^6}{322560}$ for $p = 1, 3, 5$ and 7.

Due to the symmetry of the impulse responses the frequency responses are real functions of $\omega$. The filter $H_1(e^{j\omega}) = 1$ passes unaltered the input to the output. The higher order filters are high-pass filters with zero DC gain as shown by the magnitude responses in dB in Fig. 2.

B. Sinusoidal input

The model derived in the previous section is valid for arbitrary signals, but meaningful results can be obtained when the input $x_n$ is a sinusoid. Given

$$x_n = A \cos (2\pi f_1 n T) = A \cos (\omega_1 n),$$

\hspace{1cm} (13)

with $|A| < 1$ and $f_1 < f_s/2$ or equivalently $\omega_1 < \pi$, its DTFT can be written as

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = \pi A \sum_r [\delta(\omega - \omega_1 + 2\pi r) + \delta(\omega + \omega_1 + 2\pi r)],$$

\hspace{1cm} (14)
where $\delta(\omega)$ is the Dirac impulse function. The duty cycles are
\[
w(n) = \frac{1 + x(n)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{2} \cos(\omega_1 n)
\] (15)
and its DTFT
\[
W(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_n \left[ \frac{A}{2} \delta(\omega - \omega_1 + 2\pi n) + \frac{A}{2} \delta(\omega + \omega_1 + 2\pi n) + \delta(\omega + 2\pi n) \right].
\] (16)

Using the binomial formula the powers of the duty cycles can be computed as
\[
(w(n))^p = \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{2} \cos(\omega_1 n) \right)^p = \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{u=0}^{p} \binom{p}{u} A^u \cos^n(\omega_1 n)
\] (17)
where $\cos^n(\omega_1 n)$ can be expressed as the sum of its harmonics components using the trigonometric power formulas
\[
\cos^{2n} (\omega_1 n) = \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \frac{2u}{u+1} \right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} \binom{n}{k} \cos(2u - k) \omega_1 n),
\]
\[
\cos^{2n+1} (\omega_1 n) = \frac{1}{2^n} \left( \frac{2u+1}{u+1} \right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{u} \binom{n}{k} \cos((2u + 1 - k) \omega_1 n).
\] (18)

Therefore, the $p$-th power of $w(n)$ can be expressed as
\[
(w(n))^p = A_{p,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{p} A_{p,k} \cos(k \omega_1 n).
\] (19)
The constants $A_{p,k}$ are the amplitude of the $k$-th harmonic component of $(w(n))^p$.

The DTFT of $(w(n))^p$ is
\[
W^p(e^{j\omega}) = 2A_{p,0} \pi \sum_{r} \delta(\omega + 2\pi r) + \pi \sum_{k=1}^{p} A_{p,k} \sum_{r} \left[ \delta(\omega - \omega_k + 2\pi r) + \delta(\omega + \omega_k + 2\pi r) \right]
\] (20)
where $\omega_k = k \omega_1$.

C. Frequency components that fall into baseband

Taking into account (10), the frequency components generated by the nonlinear behavior of the PWM modulator can be analyzed by $W^p(e^{j\omega})$ in (20). We are interested in the frequency components of $W^p(e^{j\omega})$ that fall in the range $0 \leq \omega < \pi$ which represents the components of the PWM signal lying in the range $0 \leq f < f_s/2$.

The input frequency $\omega_1$ verifies that $\omega_1 < \pi$; higher frequencies generated by the PWM $\omega_k = k \omega_1$ (multiples of $\omega_1$) will fall or not into baseband depending on the value of $\omega_1$. Due to the $2\pi$-periodicity of the DTFT all frequency components $\omega_k$ that do not fall into baseband will have a $2\pi$ shifted replica noted $\omega^{bb}_k$ that falls into baseband given by
\[
\omega^{bb}_k = \pi \left( \left( \frac{\omega_k}{\pi} + 1 \right)_2 - 1 \right),
\] (21)
where $\left( x \right)_2$ is the modulo operator. Figure 3 shows the mapping described by (21). This mapping of the higher frequency components into the baseband has been named as the “aliasing distortion” of the PWM modulator [3, 9–11].

Example of frequency mapping into baseband: For a fifth-order model, an input of frequency $\omega_1$ produces the frequency components $\omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4$ and $\omega_5$ that map into the frequencies $\omega^{bb}_2, \omega^{bb}_3, \omega^{bb}_4$ and $\omega^{bb}_5$. Table I shows the mapping for three values of input frequency: low ($\omega_1 = 0.04\pi$), medium ($\omega_1 = 0.2\pi$) and high ($\omega_1 = 0.9\pi$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>\omega_1</th>
<th>\omega^{bb}_2</th>
<th>\omega^{bb}_3</th>
<th>\omega^{bb}_4</th>
<th>\omega^{bb}_5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.04\pi</td>
<td>0.08\pi</td>
<td>0.12\pi</td>
<td>0.16\pi</td>
<td>0.24\pi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2\pi</td>
<td>0.4\pi</td>
<td>0.6\pi</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9\pi</td>
<td>0.2\pi</td>
<td>0.7\pi</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Amplitudes of the components generated by each branch and by the complete PWM output

The amplitudes $A_{p,k}$ of the $\omega_k$ frequency components of $(w(n))^p$ decreases for growing values of $k$. Table II shows the amplitude $A_{p,k}$ of the frequency components generated by each of the $W^p(e^{j\omega})$ branches considering up to $p = 5$. For example, the linear branch only generates the fundamental component with amplitude $A_{1,1} = A/2$ while the cubic branch $W^5(e^{j\omega})$ contributes to the fundamental with amplitude $A_{3,1} = (12A + 3A^3)/32$ but also generates...
second and third harmonics with amplitudes \( A_{3,2} \) and \( A_{3,3} \) respectively. The branch filters (Fig. 1) further attenuates the amplitudes of the harmonics.

The complete output \( y_n \) representing the baseband content of the PWM signal using the model of order 5 is

\[
y_n = \frac{1}{2} + A_1 \cos(\omega_1 n) + A_2 \cos(\omega_{3,2} n) + A_3 \cos(\omega_{3,3} n) + A_4 \cos(\omega_{3,4} n) + A_5 \cos(\omega_{3,5} n)
\]

where \( A_k \) is the amplitude of the \( \omega_{3,k} \) component in the PWM signal. Each \( A_k \) has contributions from different branches of the model weighted by the amplitude gain of the filter \( H_k(\omega_{3,j}) \) of each branch. Since for the PWM model the frequency responses of the filters are real functions of \( \omega \) then

\[
A_k = A_{1,k} H_1(e^{j\omega_b}) + A_{3,k} H_3(e^{j\omega_{3,b}}) + A_{5,k} H_5(e^{j\omega_{3,5}}).
\]

Table III shows the formulas for the computation of \( A_k \) for any sinusoidal input of frequency \( \omega_1 \) and amplitude \( A \).

### E. Total Harmonic Distortion

Using the results in Table III the Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) generated by the pulse width modulator can be computed analytically. The THD is defined as

\[
\text{THD} = \sqrt{\frac{A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2 + A_4^2 + A_5^2}{A_1^2}}
\]

\[
\approx \sqrt{\frac{a_2 A_2^2 + a_4 A_4^2 + a_6 A_6^2 + a_8 A_8^2}{b_0 + b_2 A_2^2 + b_4 A_4^2}}
\]

where \( A \) is the amplitude of the input sinusoidal; the coefficients of the numerators are:

- \( a_2 = 589824000(\omega_{2,2}^6)^4 - 1228800(\omega_{2,2}^6)^4 + 6400(\omega_{2,2}^6)^8 \)
- \( a_4 = -614400(\omega_{2,2}^6)^4 + 6400(\omega_{2,2}^6)^8 + 1638400(\omega_{3,3}^2)^4 - 102400(\omega_{3,3}^2)^8 + 1600(\omega_{3,3}^2)^8 \)
- \( a_6 = 1600(\omega_{2,2}^6)^8 - 12800(\omega_{2,2}^6)^6 + 400(\omega_{3,3}^2)^8 + 100(\omega_{3,3}^2)^8 \)
- \( a_8 = 25(\omega_{2,2}^6)^8 + (\omega_{3,3}^2)^8 \)

and the coefficients of the denominator:

- \( b_0 = 491520 - 15360(\omega_1)^2 + 80(\omega_1)^4 \)
- \( b_2 = -3840(\omega_1)^2 + 120(\omega_1)^4 \)
- \( b_4 = 10(\omega_1)^4 \).

Figure 4 shows the THD [%] as a function of the frequency \( 0 < \omega < \pi \) obtained analytically with (24). The THD [%] is shown for three values of amplitude \( A \), also know as the modulation depth or modulation index: \( A = 0.5 \) (red-thick), \( A = 0.75 \) (green-dashed) and \( A = 0.95 \) (blue-dot-dashed). Distortion is higher when \( A \) grows but has an irregular behavior as a function of frequency \( \omega \):

- For \( 0 < \omega < \pi/2 \) the THD [%] grows reaching maximums of 7.45%, 11.1% and 13.88% for the three values of \( A \). In this region the THD [%] is dominated by the harmonic frequencies generated by the digital PWM modulator (mainly \( \omega_{2,2} = 2\omega_1 \)).
- For \( \omega = \pi/2 \) all baseband frequencies \( \omega_{2,2} \) fall either at \( \pi \) or at \( \pi/2 \) so that there is no distortion component in \( 0 < \omega < \pi \); the THD [%] is zero at this point.
- For \( \pi/2 < \omega < \pi \) distortion is generated by carrier side-bands that fall into baseband ("PWM aliasing-distortion").

**Simplified THD**: For \( \omega_1 < \pi/2 \) the THD can be approximated by

\[
\text{THD} \approx \frac{1}{16} A(\omega_1)^2
\]

with an error of less than 1%. Equation (25) shows that in this region the THD is proportional to the input amplitude (modulation index) \( A \) and to the square of the input frequency \( \omega_1 \).

**Example of PWM used for a switched audio amplifier**:

The input frequency ranges from 20 Hz to 20 kHz; if the PWM frequency is \( f_s = 80 \) kHz then the maximum value for \( \omega_1 = 2\pi 20000 / 80000 = \pi/2 \) and hence (25) reveals that the expected THD considering the distortion in frequency range 0 to 40 kHz is less than 11.6% for \( A = 0.75 \). On the other hand, if \( f_s = 300 \) kHz, which is a typical value for switched audio amplifiers, then the maximum value for \( \omega_1 = 2\pi 20000 / 300000 = 2\pi/15 \) and (25) gives that the THD is less than 0.82% considering the distortion components in the frequency range 0 to 150 kHz.

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( W^{*p}(e^{\omega_1}) )</td>
<td>( A_{p,1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W^{*1}(e^{\omega_1}) )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W^{*3}(e^{\omega_1}) )</td>
<td>( \frac{(12A^2+3A^4)}{32} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W^{*5}(e^{\omega_1}) )</td>
<td>( \frac{(8A^2+12A^4+10A^6)}{512} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_1 )</td>
<td>( \frac{A(49152-384(4+A^2)(\omega_1)^2+(8+12A^2+A^4)(\omega_1)^4)}{98304} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_2 )</td>
<td>( \frac{A^2(\omega_{2,2}^6)^2(-192+2(2+A^2)(\omega_{2,2}^6)^2)}{24576} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_3 )</td>
<td>( \frac{A^2(\omega_{3,3}^2)(-256+(8+4A^2)(\omega_{3,3}^2)^2)}{196608} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_4 )</td>
<td>( \frac{A^4(\omega_{3,3}^2)^8}{98304} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_5 )</td>
<td>( \frac{A^4(\omega_{3,5}^2)^8}{98304} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. SIMULATIONS

To verify the results for the THD [%] obtained by equation (24) a numerical simulation of the PWM modulator was performed. The actual two-level \( q(t) \) signal is generated, low pass filtered to avoid aliasing and sampled to compute the FFT. The simulation time for each frequency and amplitude is long, since the PWM signal should have enough time-resolution to achieve quantization noise below \(-100\, \text{dB}\), and also because several periods of the input signal must be simulated to obtain a spectrum with good frequency resolution. Using the FFT, a frequency band around the fundamental frequency is selected as the input and all the remaining spectra in the range \( 0 \leq \omega < \pi \) is considered as distortion. This procedure is equivalent to the computation of total harmonic distortion plus noise (THD+N) typically performed by spectral analyzers. Since it is expected that noise is several orders of magnitude lower than the harmonic components, the THD+N is similar to THD in our case.

A very good match between the analytical results (curves) and the simulations results (dots) of the THD are shown in Fig. 4 for three amplitude values.

Figures 5 to 8 show the spectra obtained with the numerical simulations (curves) and computed with the analytical expressions (dots) in Table III for \( A = 0.75 \).

- For \( \omega_1 = \pi/25 \) and \( \omega_1 = 0.2\pi \) the spectra in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 shows that spurious components appear at multiples of the input frequencies (harmonics).
- For \( \omega_1 = \pi/2 \) no distortion components appear in baseband \( (\omega < \pi) \). This can be observed in Fig. 7 and corresponds to the zero THD point in Fig. 4.
- For frequencies greater than \( \pi/2 \) distortion components appear as aliasing distortion. For \( \omega_1 = 0.9\pi \) the spectra is shown in Fig. 8.

In all cases the analytic results using upto the power \( p = 5 \) (summarized in Table III) perfectly match the numerical simulations of the real PWM modulator.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A model for digital PWM composed of odd powers and digital filters was reviewed. Closed-form formulas for the impulse and frequency responses of the filters of any order

\[ \text{THD} = \frac{1}{A} \]
Simulations showed that a modulation index and to the square of the input frequency, under typical practical conditions, is proportional to the frequencies and amplitudes that appear in the baseband.

This gives the general expression for the computation of the impulse responses shown in (6).

APPENDIX

FREQUENCY RESPONSES OF THE FILTERS

We show that the expression for $H_p(e^{j\omega})$ in (12) correspond to the impulsive response $h_{p,n}$. The definition of the inverse DTFT transform states that

$$h_{p,n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_p(e^{j\omega})e^{j\omega n} d\omega$$

$$= \frac{(j)^{p-1}}{2\pi p! (2)^{p-1}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega^{p-1} e^{j\omega n} d\omega. \quad (26)$$

To solve the integral in (26) the cases $n \neq 0$ and $n = 0$ are analyzed separately. For $n = 0$ the result is

$$h_{p,0} = \frac{1}{p!} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{p-1} \sin \left( \frac{pn\pi}{2} \right). \quad (27)$$

The primitive function of the integral for $n \neq 0$ is

$$F(\omega) = \int \omega^{p-1} e^{j\omega n} d\omega = e^{j\omega n} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \frac{(p-1)!(\omega^{p-1-u} - \omega^{u})}{u!(jn)^{p-u}}. \quad (28)$$

The impulse responses for $n \neq 0$ can be found by using the primitive

$$h_{p,n} = \frac{(j)^{p-1}}{2\pi p! (2)^{p-1}} (F(\pi) - F(-\pi))$$

$$= \frac{j(-1)^{\alpha+p} (\omega^{p-1})}{\pi \omega^{p-u}} \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \frac{(j\pi n)^u}{u!} ((-1)^u - 1). \quad (29)$$

This gives the general expression for the computation of the impulse responses shown in (6).
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